KNOWLEDGE AND AWARENESS ABOUT PEEK AS A DENTAL MATERIAL AMONG DENTAL STUDENTS.
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ABSTRACT

Background: Many researches and studies are undergoing to discover new materials or to modify existing material for better esthetic and biocompatibility. Polyether ether ketone is one of the newly innovated material in modern dentistry. This study is conducted to assess the knowledge and prevalence about the material among dental student in clinical practice. Materials and Method: Questionnaire based study was conducted among 100 undergraduate and postgraduate dental students of various ages and clinical experience in thai moogambigai dental college, chennai to assess the knowledge about the material. Result: More than 71% selected Zirconia to be the most biocompatible and aesthetic material. Around 32% of students answered this material can be used as implants, prosthesis and orthodontic wires. Only 27% of students answered 1250-1383N as the masticatory force withstood by this material compared to 68% of students who opted for 800-1000N. Around 32% of students reported that they have never heard about PEEK material and about 35% of students are willing to learn about the material. Conclusion: From the study it can be concluded that the students have comparatively less knowledge about PEEK. More studies and research should be conducted to improvise the material and to widen it’s use among clinicians.
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INTRODUCTION

Restorative material in dentistry is constantly being innovated to satisfy the aesthetic and biocompatibility needs of the patient. Similarly, it is required by the dentist to be up to date with new dental material that is being introduced. Composite material, nano-particle combination material, zirconia etc are some of the advanced material. Among these materials, PEEK (Polyether ether ketone) is one of the newly innovated dental material that is being introduced into dentistry. PEEK has been previously used in orthopaedics for many years, because of its elastic modulus being closer to the bone and its elastic modulus can be made similar to the cortical bone by adding carbon fibres.1,2 PEEK is a synthetic, polycyclic, aromatic, thermoplastic polymer and possesses excellent mechanical property, resistance to hydrolysis and chemical wear and it is a bioinert material.3 Due to its good physical and mechanical property this material have a good potential in modern dentistry as an implant material, prosthesis, orthodontic wires and restorative material. This study was conducted to assess the knowledge and prevalence of this material among dental students in clinical practice.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

A questionnaire-based survey was conducted to assess the knowledge and prevalence about PEEK as emerging new dental material. The study was conducted among 100 clinical dental students from Thai moogambigai dental college and hospital of Dr MGR Educational and Research Institute deemed to be university in Chennai, India. Total of 100 undergraduate and postgraduate dental students of various age and clinical experience are selected randomly to participate in the study. All of them were informed about the study in detail and consent were obtained from the participating student with the
assurance of maintaining the personal detail of the participants confidential. Totally 16 questionnaires were asked about this restorative material. Obtained results are entered in excel spreadsheet and descriptive analysis is done in percentage and described in a bar chart.

RESULT

Recent advancements in dental material, 33% of the students opted for nano-particles compared to other dental material. More than 71% selected Zirconia to be most biocompatible and aesthetic material. Around 42% of students answered Polyether ether ketone. More than 73% chose this material as a polymer. Around 32% of students answered this material can be used as implants, prosthesis and orthodontic wires. Presented in Figure - 1a.

About 38% of students answered this material has less osteoconductive property. Around 38% answered PEEK’s elastic modulus is higher and 37% answered equally to the bone. 46% of people chose barium sulphate is to be added to make this polymer material radio-opaque. Only 27% of students answered 1250-1383N as the masticatory force withstood by this material compared to 68% of students who opted for 800-1000N. presented in figure -1b distribution of students response about the material.

42% of students chose composite coated PEEK to have low fracture resistance. 36% of students chose this material to have High fracture resistance in CAD-CAM milling 33% of students answered that both hydroxy appetite and titanium coating can be given to improve the osteoconductive property of this material. Presented in figure-1c distribution of student’s response about the material.
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Figure 1.c: Distribution of student’s response about the material.

Around 32% of students reported that they have never heard about PEEK material and about 35% of students are willing to learn about the material. Only 29% of the students are willing to use this material in clinics and 26% are willing to recommend the material to the fellow dentist. Presented in figure-1d.

DISCUSSION

There are numerous research being conducted to find new and modified material in modern dentistry to improve the quality of the procedure and give the patient a better choice of material which is both biocompatible and aesthetically pleasing. Among them composite, ceramics, nano-particles and polymers few of the materials being studied to increase its performance in oral cavity.\(^3,4,5\) In our study, most of the students selected nano-particle(33%) as most recently advanced material followed by ceramic (27%). Though it is correct, PEEK a thermoplastic polymer, semi-crystalline with linear structure is also a recently advanced materials which is slowly being introduced in dentistry. From student’s response to our study, most bio-compatible and aesthetic material is zirconia.\(^7\) But according to studies PEEK material also have similar aesthetic and biocompatible properties.\(^8\) Due to its superior mechanical property, biocompatibility, and aesthetic property this polymer material can be used as an implant, prosthesis, orthodontic wires and even as a restorative material.\(^9-11\) Only one-third of the students have responded with all the above-mentioned uses.

In our study, most of the students responded osteoconductive property of PEEK is less. According to studies, though osteoconductive property of this material is less a number researches are being conducted to improve the osteoconductive property of the material including coating PEEK implants with bioactive materials, increasing the surface roughness by chemical treatment and incorporating bioactive materials.\(^12,13\) Relatively comparable number of students have responded that elastic modulus of PEEK material is equal or more to the bone. It does not confirm with studies which points that elastic modulus of this material (3-4GPa) is lesser than cortical bone (14GPa) and it can be improved by adding carbon fibre [CFR-PEEK(18GPa)]which increases the elastic modulus closer to cortical bone.\(^10,13\) According to reports PEEK is radio-lucent material which makes it difficult to investigate it in radiographs hence to make it radio-opaque barium sulphate is added.\(^14\) and in our study,
more number of students opted for barium sulphate to be added to make this material radio opaque. In our study, students responded the masticatory force of PEEK to be between 800-1000N. While the prolonged study conducted on 3-unit FPD, the masticatory force withstood by this material is 1250-1382N. CAD/CAM-milled PEEK can withstand the masticatory force up to 2354N, which has highest fracture resistance contrasted to lithium disilicate (950N), aluminium (851N) and Zirconia (981-1331N). In our study, large number of students has responded that composite coated PEEK has low fracture resistance which complies with various published reports, because of inferior bonding strength between material surface and composite coating. As the polymer material is hydrophobic in nature and have high resistance to chemical wear. In our study, selected students responded that the osteoconductive property of PEEK can be improvised by titanium coating. According to studies both hydroxy appetite and titanium coating, can positively enhance the osteoconductive property of the material.

In our study, it is revealed that students know about PEEK material mostly through research articles and few students have no knowledge about the material. Most of the students are willing to learn more about the material. Also, a number of students responded that they were undecided about using this material in their clinics and to suggest the material to their colleagues. Based on the questionnaire and response, students have less knowledge about the PEEK as dental material. More studies and research have to conducted to improve the quality of the material and increase the application of PEEK in day-to-day dental practice. Students must be encouraged to gain more knowledge about PEEK material. Limitation of the study is it has less number of study samples. There was no in-depth discussion with responders that might have had revealed more about why there was less knowledge about the material and their uncertainty regarding using the material in the clinics.

CONCLUSION

From the study it can be concluded that the students have comparatively less knowledge about PEEK. It might be because of the less studies conducted on them, less awareness about the material and its property. More studies and research should be conducted to improvise the material and to widen it’s use among clinicians.

REFERENCES


