



## ANALYTICAL METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION FOR THE DETERMINATION OF FLUOROMETHOLONE USING C<sub>8</sub> COLUMN IN PARENTERAL DOSAGE FORM BY UPLC TECHNOLOGY

Mohd Shafi\*<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Osman Ahmed<sup>1</sup> and Anas Rasheed<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Deccan School of Pharmacy, Hyderabad.

<sup>2</sup>Chief Scientific Officer, Gaelib Medications Private Limited, Hyderabad.

\*Corresponding Author: Mohd Shafi

Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Deccan School of Pharmacy, Hyderabad.

Article Received on 23/05/2018

Article Revised on 13/06/2018

Article Accepted on 04/07/2018

### ABSTRACT

A specific, precise, accurate UPLC method is validated for estimation of Fluorometholone in parenteral dosage form. The method employed, with C<sub>8</sub> column (250 × 4.6 mm id)—ACE Generix in gradient mode, with mobile phase of Acetonitrile with buffer 0.5M potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate (35: 65, v/v). The flow rate was 2 ml/min and effluent was monitored at 215nm. Retention time was found to be 5.2±0.05 min. The method was validated in terms of linearity, accuracy, precision, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ) etc. in accordance with ICH guidelines. Linear regression analysis data for the calibration plot showed that there was good linear relationship between response and concentration in the range of 20- 100µg/ml respectively. The LOD and LOQ values for were found to be 0.3156 (µg/ml) and 0.95662 (µg/ml) respectively. No chromatographic interference from excipients and degradants were found. The proposed method was successfully used for estimation of Fluorometholone in parenteral dosage form with C<sub>8</sub> column.

**KEYWORDS:** Fluorometholone, UPLC, Assay, parenteral dosage form.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Fluorometholone, (1R,2S,8S,10S,11S,14R,15S,17S)-14-acetyl-1-fluoro-14,17-dihydroxy-2,8,15-trimethyltetracyclo[8.7.0.0.2<sup>7</sup>.0<sup>11,15</sup>]heptadeca-3,6-dien-5-one (Fig. 1). Fluorometholone glucocorticoid employed, usually as eye drops, in the treatment of allergic and inflammatory conditions of the eye. It has also been used topically in the treatment of various skin disorders. Analytical methods are commonly used for the quantitative and qualitative analysis of raw materials, drug substances, drug products, and compounds in biological samples in pharmaceutical industry. The validation of a specific method must be demonstrated through laboratory experiments by routinely analysing samples.




Fig. 1: Structure of Fluorometholone.

### 2. EXPERIMENTAL

#### Materials

Fluorometholone (99.50% purity) used as analytical standard was procured from Gaelib Medications (Hyderabad). UPLC grade methanol, Acetonitrile (HPLC grade) was purchased from Qualigens fine chemicals, Mumbai, India. Distilled, 0.45 µm filtered water used for UPLC quantification and preparation of buffer. Buffers and all other chemicals were analytical grade. The parenteral - dosage (FML Forte 0.5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) labelled to contain 0.5 mg per 1 mL of container for Fluorometholone. All chemicals used were of pharmaceutical or special analytical grade.

#### Instrumentation

Acquity, Waters UPLC system consisting of a Water 2695 binary gradient pump, an inbuilt auto sampler, a column oven and Water 2996 wavelength absorbance detector (PDA) was employed throughout the analysis. The data was collected using Empower 2 software. The column used was C<sub>8</sub> column (250 × 4.6 mm id)—ACE Generix. A Band line sonerex sonicator was used for enhancing dissolution of the compounds. A Bandline sonerex sonicator was used for pH adjustment.

### Chromatographic Conditions

**Table 1: Chromatographic Conditions of the validating method.**


Parameter	Value
Column	C8 column (250 ×4.6 mm id)—ACE Generix
Mobile Phase	Acetonitrile with buffer 0.5M potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate (35: 65, v/v)
Flow rate	2.0 ml/min
Run time	16 Min.
Column Temperature	Maintained at 25°C
Injection volume	20 µL
Detection wavelength	215 nm
Diluent	Mobile Phase

#### Preparation of Standard Stock Solution

Stock standard solution of Fluorometholone (0.5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) was prepared in methanol. Four milliliters were accurately transferred from FML® eye drops to a 100-mL volumetric flask and diluted to the mark with the mobile phase to get 20 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> of FLU. The prepared solution was filtered through a 0.45-µm Millipore syringe membrane filter.

#### Preparation of internal standard solution

Weighed accurately about 20 mg of prednisolone working standard and transfer to 40 ml volumetric flask, add 100 ml of mobile phase and sonicate to dissolve it completely and then volume was made up to the mark with mobile phase to get 20 µg/ml of standard stock solution of working standard. Then it was ultrasonicated for 10 minutes and filtered through 0.20 µ membrane filter.



**Fig. 2: Optimized chromatogram of Fluorometholone and internal standard using mobile phase of Acetonitrile with buffer 0.5M potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate (35: 65, v/v).**


### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

#### Validation

The analytical method was validated with respect to parameters such as linearity, precision, specificity and accuracy, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantitation (LOQ) and robustness in compliance with ICH guidelines.

#### Linearity and Range

The linearity of an analytical procedure is the ability to obtain test results that are directly proportional to the concentration of an analyte in the sample. The calibration curve showed good linearity in the range of 20-100 µg/mL, for Fluorometholone with correlation coefficient of 0.9958. A typical calibration curve has the regression equation of  $y = 346.32x + 1497.233$  for Fluorometholone. Results are given in Table 2.



**Fig. 3: Calibration curve of Fluorometholone.**

#### Limit of Detection (LOD) and Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)

The LOD and LOQ of Fluorometholone were calculated by mathematical equation.  $LOD = 3.3 \times \text{standard deviation} \div \text{slope}$  and  $LOQ = 10 \times \text{standard deviation} \div \text{slope}$ . The LOD of Fluorometholone was found to be 0.3156 (µg/ml) and the LOQ of Fluorometholone was found to be 0.95662 (µg/ml). Results are given in Table 2.

**Table 2: Summary of validation parameters for the proposed method.**

Parameter	Fluorometholone
Linearity	20 – 100 µg/ml
Intercept (c)	1497.233
Slope (m)	346.32
Correlation coefficient	0.9958
LOD	0.3156(µg/ml)
LOQ	0.95662 (µg/ml)

#### Accuracy

To study the accuracy of method, recovery studies were carried out by spiking of standard drug solution to pre-

analyzed sample at three different levels i.e., at 50, 100, and 150%. The resultant solutions were then reanalyzed by the proposed method. At each level of the amount, six determinations were performed. From the data obtained, the method was found to be accurate. The % recovery and %RSD were calculated and presented in Table 3.

#### Precision

The Precision of the method was studied in terms of intraday and interday precision of sample injections (20 µg/ml). Intraday precision was investigated by injecting six replicate samples of each of the sample on the same day. The % RSD was found to be 0.11%. Interday

precision was assessed by analysis of the 6 solutions on three consecutive days. The % RSD obtained was found to be 0.09%. Low % RSD values indicate that the method is precise. The results are given in table 4.

#### Robustness

Small deliberate changes in chromatographic conditions such as change in temperature ( $\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ), flow rate ( $\pm 0.1\text{ml/min}$ ) and wavelength of detection ( $\pm 2\text{nm}$ ) were studied to determine the robustness of the method. The results were in favour of (% RSD < 2%) the developed UPLC method for the analysis of Fluorometholone. The results are given in table 5.

**Table 3: Results of accuracy study.**


FML C8						
Level %	Amount added (µg/ml)	Amount found (µg/ml)	% Recovery	Mean recovery (%)	Std. Dev	% RSD
50	10.05	10.02	99.50	99.55%	0.0914	0.08%
100	20.15	20.05	99.65			
150	30.24	30.12	99.57			

**Table 4: Results of Precision Studies.**

Replicate	FML C8		
	S. No.	Concentration Taken (µg/ml)	Area
1	20	2226.54	98.97%
2		2227.87	98.95%
3		2232.32	98.97%
4		2236.18	98.92%
5		2246.65	98.92%
6		2251.28	98.91%
Average			99.94%
Std. Dev			0.0268
% RSD			0.03%
Standard weight			20 mcg
Standard potency			98.00 %

**Table 5: Results of Robustness Studies.**


Robustness Studies			
Parameter	Value	Peak Area	% RSD
Flow Rate	Low	2237.38	0.01%
	Actual	2236.87	
	Plus	2236.92	
Temperature	Low	2238.37	0.04%
	Actual	2237.58	
	Plus	2236.79	
Wavelength	Low	2238.44	0.02%
	Actual	2237.88	
	Plus	2237.59	



**Fig. 4: Chromatogram showing accuracy results.**

#### Analysis of Formulation

Assay studies for the analysis of parenteral - dosage formulation of Fluorometholone. Fixed chromatographic conditions were made use for the analysis of formulation and was found to be 98.346%.



**Fig. 5: Chromatogram of Assay Studies.**

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The method provides selective quantification of Fluorometholone without interference from blank affirming precise method. The proposed method is highly sensitive, reproducible, specific and rapid. The method was completely validated showing satisfactory data for all the method validation parameters.

The developed method was robust in the separation and quantification of Fluorometholone in parenteral dose. This method can be used for the routine analysis of production samples. The information presented herein could be very useful for quality monitoring of bulk samples and as well employed to check the quality during research studies. The current method is validated for the assay study of the formulation and was found to be beneficial.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge Gaelib Medications Private Limited (Hyderabad, TS) for their constant support and help throughout our research study.

#### 5. REFERENCES

1. International Conference on Harmonization Guideline on Validation of Analytical Procedures, Text and Methodology: Q2 (R1), 2005.
2. Nguyen D.T., Guillaume D, Rudaz S, Veuthey J. L., Fast Analysis In Liquid Chromatography Using Small Particle Size And High Pressure. *J Sep Sci.*, Aug, 2006; 29(12): 1836-48.
3. Katharina Sterz, Gerhard Scherer, Josef Ecker. A Simple And Robust UPLC-SRM/MS Method To Quantify Urinary Eicosanoids. *J Lipid Res.*, 2013; 1-28.
4. Ashok kumar, UPLC: A preminent technique in pharmaceutical analysis. *Acta poloniae pharmaceutical–drug research*, 2012; 69(30): 371-380.
5. Michael E Swartz, Ultra performance liquid chromatography UPLC: an introduction. *Separation science redefined*, 2005; 1: 8-14.
6. Rasheed A et al., Analytical Method Development and Validation for the Simultaneous Estimation of Aspirin, Clopidogrel Bisulphate and Atorvastatin Calcium in Tablet Dosage Form. *American Journal of Pharm Tech Research*, 2014.
7. David A. Williams, William O. Foye, Thomas L. Lemke; Foye's principles of medicinal chemistry; 6th Ed Wolters Kluwer Health, 2008; 698-728.
8. Osman Ahmed, Anas Rasheed et.al; An Assay Method For The Simultaneous Estimation Of Acetaminophen And Tramadol Using Rp-Hplc Technology, *Indo American Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 2015; 5(7).
9. Anas Rasheed and Osman Ahmed, UPLC Method Development And Validation For The Determination Of Chlophedianol Hydrochloride In Syrup Dosage Form, *International Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*, 2017; 2(2): 25-31.
10. Anas Rasheed and Osman Ahmed, Validation of A Uplc Method With Diode Array Detection For The Determination Of Noscapine In Syrup Dosage Form; *European Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research*, *EJPMR*, 2017; 4(6): 510-514.